RESOLUTION #16

CROP INSURANCE

1	WHEREAS, increasing operating costs in the public sector have forced all levels
2	of government to seek every possible cost-reduction strategy; and
3	WHEREAS, in the federal government's agricultural programs, one of those cost-
4	reduction strategies has been to reduce or eliminate weather-related and other disaster
5	payments in favor of encouraging farmers to insure their crops; and
6	WHEREAS, federal agricultural programs designed to spur more farmers to
7	purchase crop insurance have included offering subsidies for the insurance premiums;
8	and
9	WHEREAS, New Jersey farmers' enrollment in such insurance programs ranges
10	from 97 percent for some eligible commodities to zero percent for others; and
11	WHEREAS, severe weather and market loss events of many types routinely
12	strike New Jersey throughout its growing seasons, ranging from severe heat and
13	drought to unseasonable cold and devastating rain-and-wind storms, all of which bring
14	widespread, serious damage to crops, farm structures and markets of various types
15	throughout the state; and
16	WHEREAS, every year, the New Jersey Department of Agriculture fields
17	numerous calls from farmers impacted by weather events, and many have chosen not to
18	insure their crops, leaving them only the option of low-interest disaster loans as a way of
19	recovering from storm damage; and
20	WHEREAS, the Department learned from conversations with those farmers that
21	many did not have crop insurance for any number of reasons, including questions about
22	which crops in a multi-crop operation have to be insured, the cost of the premium and,

lastly, that many farmers believed that disaster payments of some kind would be made by the federal government in order to keep individual farms from failing; and

WHEREAS, New Jersey has a rapidly expanding grape industry, with more than 50 wineries and over 100 grape growers producing more than 80 varieties of grapes, ranging from the higher yielding native grape varieties to the higher valued varieties of French and Vinifera grapes; and

WHEREAS, viniculturists, in order to reduce risks associated with expansion, need a viable crop insurance program for New Jersey, and a survey should be funded to collect accurate information on the grape industry, based on acres of each variety type, past yields and value of the rapidly expanding grape industry; and

WHEREAS, producers need a better defined program for potatoes that would protect them from seed-borne diseases such as Dickeya; and

WHEREAS, apple growers in the various counties need a program that would encompass the entire state, not limited to counties, especially as some orchards in the northern part of the state cross county lines, with one county having a defined program and established yields and the neighboring counties not having a defined program, leaving producers at a disadvantage in the under-established counties; and

WHEREAS, the approach of the federal government toward relying on subsidized crop insurance premiums as a farmer's sole protection from the devastation of severe weather events – including hurricanes, other persistent flooding rains, hail, extreme heat, drought or any other extreme weather, as well as market loss due to quality and low prices – appears to be increasing rather than decreasing, since it is a way of addressing a farmer's losses without the additional expense of direct ad-hoc disaster payments.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that we, the delegates to the 102nd
State Agricultural Convention, assembled in Atlantic City, New Jersey, on February 8-9,

2017, call upon the New Jersey Department of Agriculture, the United States

Department of Agriculture (USDA), agricultural support groups such as New Jersey and

American Farm Bureau, Rutgers NJAES Extension Service, commodity groups, and all

others with knowledge of crop insurance programs at all levels, to continue aggressively

educating farmers about crop insurance in an age when straight disaster payments from
government are disappearing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we urge the USDA agencies responsible for promoting and administering crop insurance to make every effort to streamline and simplify the forms for applying for, and making claims upon, crop insurance.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we urge the USDA agencies charged with promoting and administering crop insurance programs to re-examine what types of crops are eligible and under what circumstances, so that the widest possible number of farmers will be able to avail themselves of such protection. USDA's Risk Management Agency (RMA) currently only expands specific crop programs to additional counties if there are at least a required number of acres of the crop and number of producers of the crop in the potential expansion county, and most counties in the eastern U.S. cannot meet the RMA requirements, putting them at a risk-prevention disadvantage. We continue to urge RMA to work in cooperation with USDA agencies to establish easier ways to obtain crop insurance in counties where it is unavailable, be it through easier written agreements or other modes.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we urge the USDA agency charged with administering crop insurance programs to implement a Viniculture Risk Program similar to that which is being used in New York, and especially on Long Island, since the New Jersey industry is similar to that area of the country, and that funding from the New Jersey Wine Industry Advisory Council be used to conduct a survey of the entire New

Jersey wine industry to further define the New Jersey grape industry and assist in understanding the needs of the industry and building a stronger crop insurance program.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we urge a change in crop insurance program guidelines so that farmers can choose which fields of specific crops on their farms are to be insured instead of having to insure all the fields of specific types of crops on their farms in order to have any of them insured.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we recognize the Farm Bill has authorized Noninsured Assistance Program (NAP) at buy-up to the 65 percent level and 100 percent of price, and that USDA's Farm Service Agency is accepting applications from farmers for the 2017 crop year, and we urge RMA that if at least one producer has an imported crop policy from another county or one person buys a NAP policy for the crop, that RMA should file the rates and rules to expand the program to the additional county (technical term is to "approve the county" for the specific crop).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Department, through its involvement in the Crop Insurance Educational Programs for targeted states, help to establish a program that will aid in addressing Dickeya in New Jersey.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, we urge that if RMA insures a specific crop anywhere in a state, they should be required to approve it for all counties in the state in order to avoid uneven opportunities for farmers based on their county and to avoid confusion on farms that may cross county lines.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we urge USDA to continue funding Crop Insurance Educational Programs for targeted states in order to continue aiding producers in changing their means of protecting the agriculture businesses of the state and to assist in developing new programs to protect significant crops being grown.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we urge the New Jersey Department of Agriculture to work with the New Jersey Congressional Delegation to ensure that the

RMA rules within the upcoming five-year Farm Bill include the best possible crop insurance provisions for farms in New Jersey, which typically are smaller than those in other states, are more closely located to urban areas than in others states, and which produce a preponderance of Specialty Crops and other products not included in the historical "Big Five" commodities.